# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JENNIFER WELLEN,

Case No.

Plaintiff,

**COMPLAINT** 

-against-

SOURCE SOLUTIONS MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Defendant.

NOW COMES Plaintiff, Jennifer Wellen ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, and for his Complaint against Defendant, Source Solutions Management, LLC ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

#### Nature of the Action

1. This action is brought by Plaintiff pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the city of Westminster, Maryland and is otherwise *sui juris*.
- 3. Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay a debt and is a consumer as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(3).
- 4. Defendant is a New York Limited Liability Company conducting business in the State of New York and has its principal place of business in Monroe County, City of Rochester, NY.

- 5. Defendant is a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. Defendant is headquartered and conducts business in the State of New York.

  Therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 8. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k)(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy."
- 9. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Western District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b)(2) because Defendant resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred in this District.

#### **Factual Allegations**

- 10. On or around January 14, 2020, Defendant placed a call to Plaintiff's cell phone, telephone number (443) XXX-1842, in connection with its efforts to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. The alleged debt arises from transactions that were for personal, family, and household purposes.
  - 12. Defendant recorded a message on Plaintiff's voicemail on January 14, 2020.

- 13. The message that Defendant recorded on Plaintiff's voicemail instructed Plaintiff to call telephone number (866) 568-4463.
  - 14. Telephone number (866) 568-4463 is assigned to Defendant.
- 15. Defendant failed to disclose its identity as a debt collector, the nature of the call, or state that the call was from a debt collector in the message it recorded on Plaintiff's voicemail.
  - 16. Defendant intentionally concealed its identity to harass and trick Plaintiff.
- 17. In the voicemail message, Defendant stated that Plaintiff had been notified of a "claim" and represented that Plaintiff and Defendant had communicated before, which is false.
- 18. Defendant is engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, and abuse Plaintiff in connection with attempting to collect on a debt by failing to meaningfully disclose its identity as a debt collector in its phone calls to Plaintiff.
- 19. Defendant is also using false, deceptive and misleading means in connection with attempting to collect a debt by using false statements and not identifying the purpose of its phone calls or that they are an attempt to collect a debt.

## <u>CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> <u>Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.</u>

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-19.
- 21. Defendant's violations of the FDCPA include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - a. Defendant violated § 1692(d) of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of which the natural result is the abuse and harassment of the Plaintiff; and
  - b. Defendant violated § 1692(d)(6) of the FDCPA by placing a telephone call without disclosing its identity.

3

c. Defendant violated §1692(e) of the FDCPA by any other false, deceptive, or

misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection; and

d. Defendant violated  $\S 1692(e)(11)$  of the FDCPA by failing to contain the

warning: This is an attempt to collect a debt... communication is from a debt

collector.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant as follows:

21. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);

22. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k); and

23. Awarding such other and further relief as may be just, proper and equitable.

#### JURY TRIAL DEMAND

24. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 30, 2020 /s/ Adam T. Hill

Adam T. Hill

The Law Offices of Jeffrey Lohman, P.C.

28544 Old Town Front St., Suite 201

Temecula, CA 92590

P: (657) 236-3525

E: AdamH@jlohman.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jennifer Wellen